

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2005

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/838,895	04/20/2001	Young-Sik Park	678-615 (P9447)	1685	
	28249	7590 06/27/2005		EXAMINER		
		I & BARRESE, LLP		PHAM, BRENDA H		
	333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2664		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/838	3,895	PARK ET AL.				
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Brenda	Pham	2664				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Extra afte - If th - If N' - Fail	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 D period for reply is specified above, the maximum sour ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. c of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 30) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply be ti statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 April 2005</u> .							
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.					
3)□								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 14-17</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 13</u> is/are rejected.							
6)⊠								
7)								
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electio	n requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)[9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)🛛	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
a)								
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority	documents have b	een received.	•				
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have b	een received in Applica	tion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority docu	ments have been receiv	ed in this National Stage				
•	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmei	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	1 10/30/00)	6) Other:	(102)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-17 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thakker (US 6,487,602 B1).

Claims 6 and 7, Thakker discloses a method for providing multimedia service, comprising the steps for multimedia information by a user of a mobile communication terminal; retrieving the multimedia information requested by the user from the web server; and displaying the multimedia information received, in response to the request, from the web server by wireless on a display as a moving image (see col. 5, lines 23-25 and figure 3).

Although Thakker fails to teach requesting the user to input a user ID for connection to the web server and allowing the user to access the web server if the input ID is identical to a user ID registered in the web server, these limitation is well known in the art. Thakker et al shows a web servers for e-commerce and inherent would include the use of passwords. In the alternative, though Thakker et al does not explicitly show the use of passwords by the web server it would have been obvious in view of the e-

commerce suggested by Thakker et al. E-commerce includes purchasing goods and services over the world wide web which the web server of Thakker et al serves. To ensure the goods and services are purchased or used by authorized users in the e-commerce environment, web sites serviced by servers include security measures including passwords associated with user identification to authenticate user. Hence, it would have been obvious to use password authentication in Thakker et al ensure authorized e-commerce transactions.

Page 3

4. Claims 8, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over THAKKER (US 6,487,602 B1), in view of FRYER (US 6,233,428 B1).

Claims 8, 10, 13, as explained in the rejection statement of claim 6 (parent claim), THAKKER discloses all claim limitations recited in parent claim. THAKKER does not teach wherein the web server is a security server, which stores security condition image data inputted through cameras established in areas of a particular place, and provided security condition image data of an area requested by the mobile communication terminal to the mobile communication terminal.

FRYER, in the same field of endeavor, teaches that a child care monitoring network integrates a centralized information dissemination system with live streaming video to increase parental involvement with child care workers and improve child care worker training, including provision for enabling parents to monitor their children during the workday over the Internet (see abstract and figure 1,2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implementing a child care monitoring system, such as that teach by FRYER, to enabling parents to view their children during the workday at child care centers and schools.

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over THAKKER (US 6,487,602 B1), in view of LAPPENBUSCH et al (US 5,982,298).

Claim 9, as explained in the rejection statement of claim 6 (parent claim), THAKKER discloses all claim limitations recited in parent claim. THAKKER does not teach wherein the web server is a traffic information server, which stores traffic condition image data inputted through cameras established on a plurality of roads, and provides traffic condition image data of a road requested by the mobile communication terminal to the mobile communication terminal.

LAPPENBUSHCH et al, in the same field of endeavor, teach according to column 2, lines 1-80, a web server 26 of figure 1 which stores traffic condition image data inputted through cameras (14) established on a plurality of roads, and provides traffic condition image data of a road requested by users.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement a traffic information server, in TAKKER to provide real-time traffic information to the public via the internet.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,895

Art Unit: 2664

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 5

6. Claims 14-17 are allowed.

7. Claims 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: the prior art made of record fails to teach or fairly suggest in combination

wherein the video data, transmitted from a video server via the Internet, is stored in a

Video-On-Demand (VOD) contents database of a VOD server of a mobile switching

center, and then transmitted to the mobile communication terminal by wireless.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-3135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin, can be reached on (571) 272-3134.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

June 18, 2005

Brenda Pham

Brends A. Pham